

FROBENIUS MANIFOLD STRUCTURES ON THE SPACES OF ABELIAN INTEGRALS

ROMAN M. FEDOROV

ABSTRACT. Frobenius manifold structures on the spaces of abelian integrals were constructed by I. Krichever. We use $\mathcal{D}$ -modules, deformation theory, and homological algebra to give a coordinate-free description of these structures. It turns out that the tangent sheaf multiplication has a cohomological origin, while the Levi–Civita connection is related to 1-dimensional isomonodromic deformations.

1. INTRODUCTION

Frobenius manifolds are manifolds with a flat metric and a multiplication in the tangent sheaf, subject to some constraints. Frobenius manifolds come from physics, where they govern deformations of certain topological quantum field theories. In mathematics they arise in two different situations, corresponding to A-models and B-models in physics. In an A-model one counts rational curves on a variety; this is also known as Gromov–Witten invariants. The generating function for these invariants is the potential for the corresponding Frobenius manifold. A-models are beyond the scope of our paper.

In a B-model one studies complex analytic deformations of a certain structure. The best known examples are extended moduli spaces of Calabi–Yau manifolds [BK] and the unfoldings of hypersurface singularities [Sai, Sab]. We would like to mention that Frobenius structures are important for mirror symmetry: if two varieties are mirror dual to each other, then the A-model Frobenius manifold, corresponding to the first variety, is isomorphic to the B-model Frobenius manifold, corresponding to the second.

1.1. Moduli spaces of abelian integrals. Examples of Frobenius manifolds are furnished by Hurwitz spaces. Roughly speaking, Hurwitz spaces parameterize pairs (X, f) , where X is a smooth complete algebraic curve, $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Dubrovin constructs twisted Frobenius structures on Hurwitz spaces [D].

Our main object is the following deformation of a Hurwitz space: a space of pairs (X, f) , where f is a *multi-valued* meromorphic function such that df is a single-valued 1-form with prescribed periods and residues. If the periods and residues are equal to zero, then this space reduces to a Hurwitz space. Our spaces will be called *spaces of abelian integrals*.

Krichever constructs in [K1, K2] Frobenius structures on the universal covers of the spaces of abelian integrals. Our main goal is to give a coordinate-free geometric description of these Frobenius structures. Our approach is based on a $\mathcal{D}$ -module push-forward (also known as twisted de Rham complex, see [Sab, §I.3.3]). It turns out that these structures of Frobenius manifolds have a nice interpretation: the tangent sheaf multiplication has a cohomological origin, similar to that of [BK]. The

metric and the Levi–Civita connection are closely related to 1-dimensional isomonodromic deformations. (This is not directly related to isomonodromic deformations used to describe the semi-simple Frobenius manifolds.)

We would like to mention that a similar approach has been applied to hypersurface singularities by Saito [Sai]. However, there are difficulties specific to the higher genus case.

Our interest in this type of Frobenius manifolds is partly due to the fact that in this case we get a *family* of Frobenius manifolds parameterized by the periods of abelian integrals. Another interesting feature is that this example is related neither to Fano varieties, nor to Calabi–Yau varieties but depends on the global geometry of a curve. We hope that our technique can be useful in higher dimensions as well and may lead to a uniform treatment of B-model Frobenius manifolds.

To simplify notation, we shall assume that df has a single pole (the only principal difference is that in this case we do not need to worry about the residues).

1.2. Whitham equation. Our other motivation to study the Frobenius manifold structures on the spaces of abelian integrals is their relation to Whitham equation.

It is now well known that starting from an algebraic curve one can construct special solutions of KP equations. This construction is due to Krichever who has also built a deformation theory of such solutions. Roughly speaking, we deform the curve and try to construct a solution of KP that depends on a small parameter ε . In other words, we incorporate a “slow” flow along the moduli space of curves. Such deformations are governed by Whitham equations. This deformation theory has recently been a subject of active study.

We shall give a simple explanation and derivation of Whitham equations in [F] based on the geometry of Picard varieties. The current paper may be viewed as the first part of the “Whitham project”.

My interest in the subject was attracted by papers [K2, L]. I would like to thank D. Arinkin, V. Ginzburg, A. Losev, and I. Mirković for numerous discussions. Part of this work was done, while the author visited Max Planck Institute in Bonn, and I would like to thank it for warm hospitality.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND THE MAIN CONSTRUCTION

2.1. Pencils of connections.

Definition 1. Let $\pi : \mathbf{M} \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ be the natural projection, where $\mathbf{M}$ is a manifold. By a *pencil of connections* on $\mathbf{M}$ we mean a pair $(\mathcal{W}, \nabla)$, where $\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ is a vector bundle, ∇ is a relative flat connection on $\mathcal{V} = \pi^*\mathcal{W}$ along $\mathbf{M}$ with a simple pole along $\mathbf{M} \times \{0\}$.

There is a natural way to construct twisted Frobenius manifold structures on dense open subsets of $\mathbf{M}$ starting from a pencil of connections, provided this pencil of connections satisfies some non-degeneracy condition. This will be explained in detail in §4.1.

2.2. Main objects. Consider a smooth complete algebraic curve X of genus g over $\mathbb{C}$, let $p \in X$. Denote by $(\hat{X}, \hat{p})$ the maximal abelian cover of (X, p) .

Definition 2. An *abelian integral* on (X, p) is a meromorphic function f on $\hat{X}$ such that df descends to a meromorphic differential on X . We define *periods* of f to be those of df .

Consider the moduli space $\mathbf{A}_{g,n}$ of triples (X, p, f) , where (X, p) is as above, f is an abelian integral with a single pole of order n at p (in other words, df is a meromorphic form on X with the only pole at p of order $n+1$).

The periods of f give a linear map $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. One can identify groups $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ locally over the moduli space of curves using the Gauss–Manin connection, therefore the periods give rise to a foliation on $\mathbf{A}_{g,n}$. Let us fix one of the leaves and denote it by $\mathbf{A}$. Thus $\mathbf{A}$ parameterizes abelian integrals with prescribed periods. Assume that $n \geq 1$.

Let $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$ be the moduli space of quadruples (X, p, f, Δ) , where $(X, p, f) \in \mathbf{A}$, Δ is a subgroup of $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ maximal isotropic with respect to the intersection form. The elements of Δ will be called *a-cycles*. Clearly, $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$ is a cover of $\mathbf{A}$. We shall construct a pencil of connections on $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$, giving rise to a twisted Frobenius structure on the smooth locus of $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$.

Remark. $\mathbf{A}$ is not algebraic, so we shall work in analytic category. However, most of our constructions can be done algebraically. For example, one can construct an algebraic Frobenius structure on the formal completion of $\mathbf{A}$ at a point.

2.3. Notation. The following notation will be fixed throughout the paper. Denote by $\mathbf{X}$ the universal curve over $\mathbf{A}$, let $\varphi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ be the natural projection. We denote by d_X the relative differential $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{X}/\mathbf{A}}$. We denote by d the usual (absolute) differential.

There is a natural section of φ corresponding to $p \in X$, denote it by $\tilde{p}$; we can also view it as a divisor on $\mathbf{X}$. For any integer number k set $\mathcal{O}(k) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}(k\tilde{p})$, $\Omega(k) = \Omega_{\mathbf{X}/\mathbf{A}} \otimes \mathcal{O}(k)$, $\mathcal{T}(k) = \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{X}/\mathbf{A}} \otimes \mathcal{O}(k)$.

We have a “universal” multi-valued function on $\mathbf{X}$. We denote it again by f , hopefully it will not lead to a confusion. Set $\omega = d_X f$.

2.4. Main construction. The fact that the periods of f are fixed shows that df is a single-valued 1-form on $\mathbf{X}$. Thus

$$\nabla = d + \frac{df}{z}$$

is a family of flat connections on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}$ parameterized by $z \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus 0$. We can view ∇ as a relative $\mathcal{D}$ -module on $\mathbf{X} \times (\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus 0)$. The idea is that we get a pencil of connections on $\mathbf{A}$ by taking the push-forward of ∇ along $\varphi \times \text{Id}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$. There are two problems we shall have to go around:

(1) Our $\mathcal{D}$ -module is not defined at $z = 0$, and the push-forward is not coherent near $z = \infty$. Thus some regularization is needed.

(2) We get a vector bundle on $\mathbf{A} \times \mathbb{P}^1$, whose restriction to $\{m\} \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is not trivial, thus it is not a pencil of connections. We shall make some modification along $\mathbf{A} \times \{\infty\}$, this is where we need the additional structure of *a-cycles*.

We shall denote $\varphi \times \text{Id}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$, $\varphi \times \text{Id}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus 0}$ etc. again by φ for brevity.

Remarks. (1) We can start with a connection $d_X + \frac{\omega}{z}$ along the fibers of φ . Then the condition that the periods of ω are constant is exactly the isomonodromic condition for this connection. Thus it can be extended to an absolute connection. See §4.2 for more on isomonodromic deformation.

(2) If f has zero periods (i.e. f is a meromorphic function on X), then one can extend ∇ to an absolute flat meromorphic connection on $\mathbf{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1$. In this case we

get a Frobenius manifold with Euler field (i.e. with a conformal structure). For details see, e.g. [Sab].

(3) This $\mathcal{D}$ -module push-forward can be viewed as taking the cohomology fiber-wise, with the connection on cohomology being the Gauss–Manin connection. One can also think about this as about a de Rham complex, twisted by e^f , see, e.g. [Sab, §I.3.3].

2.5. Organization of the paper. In the next section we shall make the ideas above precise, thus constructing a pencil of connections on $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$. In §4 we prove that the pencil of connections gives rise to Frobenius structures on some open subsets of $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$ and calculate these Frobenius structures explicitly. Finally, we present the relation between our construction and that of [K1, K2].

3. THE PRECISE CONSTRUCTION

First, we consider the complex:

$$\mathcal{O}(-1) \xrightarrow{d_X + \frac{\omega}{z}} \Omega(n).$$

We always place the leftmost term in degree zero. We can view this complex as a complex of sheaves on $\mathbf{X}$, depending on a parameter $z \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus 0$. We can also view it as a complex of $\mathbb{C}[1/z]$ -modules: $\mathcal{O}(-1) \otimes \mathbb{C}[1/z] \xrightarrow{d_X + \omega/z} \Omega(n) \otimes \mathbb{C}[1/z]$.

To regularize the complex at $z = 0$ we shall patch it on $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, \infty\}$ with the complex $\mathcal{O}(-1) \xrightarrow{zd_X + \omega} \Omega(n)$, using the diagram

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}(-1) & \xrightarrow{zd_X + \omega} & \Omega(n) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \times \frac{1}{z} \\ \mathcal{O}(-1) & \xrightarrow{d_X + \frac{\omega}{z}} & \Omega(n) \end{array}$$

This is an isomorphism of complexes if $z \neq 0, \infty$. This construction gives a complex

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{O}(-1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \xrightarrow{d_X + \frac{\omega}{z}} \Omega(n) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1).$$

We shall denote this complex by $\mathcal{D}^\bullet$ and its restriction to $\mathbf{X} \times \{z\}$ by $\mathcal{D}_z^\bullet$.

Note that the map is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A} \times \mathbb{P}^1}$ -linear. We shall view this complex as an object in the derived category of complexes of sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A} \times \mathbb{P}^1}$ -modules on $\mathbf{X} \times \mathbb{P}^1$. We shall abuse the language by saying “complex of sheaves”, where we really mean “complex of sheaves up to a quasi-isomorphism”. The push-forward $\varphi_* \mathcal{D}^\bullet$ is a complex of coherent sheaves (because φ is proper).

3.1. Relation to $\mathcal{D}$ -modules. The connection $d + \frac{df}{z}$ equips $\mathcal{O}(\infty)$ with a structure of z -dependant $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{X}}$ -module ($z \neq 0$). Here $\mathcal{O}(\infty)$ is the sheaf of meromorphic functions on $\mathbf{X}$ with poles on $\tilde{p}$ only.

To calculate its φ -push-forward we have to consider the corresponding relative de Rham complex:

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{O}(\infty) \xrightarrow{d_X + \frac{\omega}{z}} \Omega(\infty).$$

Unfortunately the sheaves of cohomology groups are not coherent near $z = \infty$. To circumvent this problem we take a subcomplex of coherent sheaves:

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{O}(k) \xrightarrow{d_X + \frac{\omega}{z}} \Omega(k + n + 1).$$

One easily checks that it is quasi-isomorphic to (3) at $z \neq \infty$. It follows that $\varphi_* \mathcal{D}^\bullet$ has a $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module structure for $z \neq 0, \infty$. We shall calculate this structure and see that it extends to $z = \infty$ and has a simple pole at $z = 0$.

Our choice of $k = -1$ is imposed by the fact that in this case the push-forward is *locally free* at $z = \infty$, as we shall see shortly. If, for example, we take $k = 0$, the push-forward would be

$$\hat{\mathcal{V}} \oplus \mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{S}[1],$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{V}}$ is a vector bundle, $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{O}_{z=\infty}$ is a sheaf of sky-scrapers, $[1]$ is the shift of grading.

3.2. Study of $\varphi_* \mathcal{D}^\bullet$ in the direction of $\mathbb{P}^1$. Now we choose a point $m = (X, p, f_0) \in \mathbf{A}$ and study the restriction of $\varphi_* \mathcal{D}^\bullet$ to $\{m\} \times \mathbb{P}^1$. We denote this restriction again by $\varphi_* \mathcal{D}^\bullet$ for brevity. In this subsection $\mathcal{O}(k)$ stands for $\mathcal{O}_X(kp)$ and $\Omega(k) = \Omega_X(kp)$.

Lemma 1. *$\varphi_* \mathcal{D}^\bullet$ is isomorphic to the vector bundle*

$$(\oplus_1^g \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}) \oplus \left(\oplus_1^{g+n-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \right)$$

placed in degree 1.

Proof. Let us show first that $\varphi_* \mathcal{D}^\bullet$ is a vector bundle concentrated in degree 1. To this end we fix $z \neq 0$ and consider an exact sequence:

$$(5) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Omega(n)[1] \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_z^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1) \rightarrow 0.$$

Let us write the corresponding exact sequence of hypercohomology:

$$(6) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{D}_z^\bullet) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow H^0(\Omega(n)) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{D}_z^\bullet) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}(-1)) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2(\mathcal{D}_z^\bullet) \rightarrow 0.$$

We see that the hypercohomology of $\mathcal{D}_z^\bullet$ are concentrated in degree 1 and the dimension does not depend on z (it is equal to $2g + n - 1$). A similar sequence for the upper complex in (1) shows that a similar statement is valid near $z = 0$. Now it follows that $\varphi_* \mathcal{D}^\bullet$ is a locally free sheaf in degree 1, i.e. a vector bundle.

Evaluating the global sections of (2) along $\mathbb{P}^1$ first, we come to the following presentation of the global sections of $\varphi_* \mathcal{D}^\bullet$:

$$\mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{O}(-1)) \xrightarrow{(d_X, \times \omega)} \Omega(n) \oplus \Omega(n).$$

Using an exact sequence, similar to (5), one checks easily that the dimension of the space of global sections of $\varphi_* \mathcal{D}^\bullet$ is equal to $3g + 2n - 2 = \dim \varphi_* \mathcal{D}^\bullet + (g + n - 1)$. The lemma will be proved if we show that (i) the global sections generate the fiber of $\varphi_* \mathcal{D}^\bullet$ at $z = 0$ and (ii) that there are no global sections, vanishing at both $z = 0$ and $z = \infty$. Indeed, every vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^1$ is isomorphic to $\oplus_i \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m_i)$. Now (i) shows that $m_i \geq 0$ for all i , and (ii) shows that $m_i \leq 1$ for all i .

It follows from the base change that the following map of complexes gives rise to the map from the space of global sections to $\varphi_* \mathcal{D}^\bullet|_{z=0}$:

$$(7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}(-1) & \xrightarrow{(d_X, \times \omega)} & \Omega(n) \oplus \Omega(n) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow (0,1) \\ \mathcal{O}(-1) & \xrightarrow{\omega} & \Omega(n). \end{array}$$

The second hypercohomology group of the kernel of this map is isomorphic to $H^1(\Omega(n)) = 0$. Hence the induced map on hypercohomology groups is surjective, and (i) is satisfied.

We also see that the kernel of the map from the space of global sections to $\varphi_* \mathcal{D}^\bullet|_{z=0}$ is given by the global sections of the *first* $\Omega(n)$ summand.

Now, one writes a map of complexes, analogous to (7) but generating a map from the space of global sections to $\varphi_* \mathcal{D}^\bullet|_{z=\infty}$ and checks that its kernel is given by the global sections of the *second* $\Omega(n)$ summand. Since these subspaces of global sections do not intersect, (ii) follows. $\square$

Let us denote by $\text{Conn}_{X,p,n}^0$ the space of degree zero line bundles on X with a connection such that the connection has a pole of order at most n at p and no other poles. Denote by $\widetilde{\text{Conn}}_{X,p,n}^0$ the universal cover of $\text{Conn}_{X,p,n}^0$.

Lemma 2. *There is a natural isomorphism*

$$(8) \quad \varphi_* \mathcal{D}^\bullet|_{z=\infty} \approx \widetilde{\text{Conn}}_{X,p,n}^0.$$

Proof. By the base change LHS is given by $\mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{O}(-1) \xrightarrow{d} \Omega(n))$. It is easy to see that the natural inclusion of the above complex into $\mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{d} \Omega(n)$ induces an isomorphism in the first cohomology groups. Further, $\mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{d} \Omega(n))$ is identified with the tangent space to $\text{Conn}_{X,p,n}^0$ at zero. The latter space is identified with the universal cover of $\text{Conn}_{X,p,n}^0$. $\square$

Below we always assume the identification (8).

3.3. Improving $\varphi_* \mathcal{D}^\bullet$. Recall that in order to give rise to a pencil of connections, $\varphi_* \mathcal{D}^\bullet$ has to be isomorphic to $\pi^* \mathcal{W}$, where $\mathcal{W}$ is a vector bundle on $\mathbf{A}$. This is impossible, since the restriction of $\varphi_* \mathcal{D}^\bullet$ to $\{m\} \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is not a trivial bundle (see Lemma 1). This is easy to cure if $g = 0$: just twist by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$. If $g > 0$ we need to choose a trivial subbundle in the restriction of $\varphi_* \mathcal{D}^\bullet$ to every $m \in \mathbf{A}$.

Let us now recall that we have chosen a space Δ of a -cycles on X . Note that every degree zero line bundle has a unique non-singular connection with trivial a -monodromy. This gives a splitting of the standard exact sequence

$$(9) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^0(X, \Omega(n)) \rightarrow \text{Conn}_{X,p,n}^0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}_0(X) \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus, we have a canonical splitting

$$(10) \quad \varphi_* \mathcal{D}^\bullet|_{z=\infty} = H^0(X, \Omega(n)) \oplus H^1(X, \mathcal{O}).$$

We define $\mathcal{V}$ as a subsheaf of $\varphi_* \mathcal{D}^\bullet$ whose sections are sections of $\varphi_* \mathcal{D}^\bullet$ *vanishing along* $H^0(X, \Omega(n))$. In other words, the sections of $\mathcal{V}$ are sections s of $\varphi_* \mathcal{D}^\bullet$ such that for any covector in $(H^0(X, \Omega(n)))^*$ its extension to $\varphi_* \mathcal{D}^\bullet|_{z=\infty}$ vanishes on s .

3.4. Globalization. So far we were working with a fixed quadruple (X, p, f_0, Δ) . Let us now globalize the picture to $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$. First we get a vector bundle $\varphi_* \mathcal{D}^\bullet$ on $\mathbf{A} \times \mathbb{P}^1$ with connection along $\mathbf{A}$ with a pole along $\mathbf{A} \times \{0\}$. The restriction of this connection to $\mathbf{A} \times \{z\}$ we denote by ∇_z . Modifying $\varphi_* \mathcal{D}^\bullet$ at $z = \infty$ as above we get a vector bundle $\mathcal{V}$ on $\hat{\mathbf{A}} \times \mathbb{P}^1$, its restriction to any point of $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$ being a trivial bundle on $\mathbb{P}^1$. Thus $\mathcal{V} = \varphi^* \mathcal{W}$ for some vector bundle $\mathcal{W}$ on $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$.

Theorem 1. *$(\mathcal{W}, \nabla)$ is a pencil of connections on $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$ in the sense of Definition 1.*

Proof. The bundle $\mathcal{V}$ is equipped with a relative connection ∇_z for $z \neq 0, \infty$. It is clear that this connection has at most simple pole along $\hat{\mathbf{A}} \times \{0\}$. In Lemma 6 we shall calculate its residue explicitly and shall see that it is not equal to zero. We define ∇_∞ on $\mathcal{V}$ as $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \nabla_z$. In Lemma 5 we shall calculate this limit (and see that it exists). $\square$

4. IDENTIFYING STRUCTURES

We shall see shortly that Frobenius structures come from ∇_∞ and the residue of the pencil of connections. Then we shall calculate these parts of the pencil explicitly. It will yield Theorem 1. It will also follow that this pencil of connections gives rise to a Frobenius manifold structures on some open subsets of $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$.

4.1. From pencils of connections to Frobenius manifolds and WDVV equation. We shall not give a precise definition of a Frobenius manifold. However, below we explain how to get all the basic structures, starting from a pencil of connections.

Let $(\mathcal{W}, \nabla)$ be a pencil of connections on a manifold $\mathbf{M}$. One interprets it as a family of flat connections on $\mathcal{W}$, parameterized by $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus 0$. Our condition on the pole at zero implies that this family is of the form $\nabla_\infty + \Phi/z$, where z is the standard coordinate on $\mathbb{P}^1$, ∇_∞ is the restriction of ∇ to $\mathbf{M} \times \{\infty\}$, Φ is a Higgs field (this explains the origin of the word ‘pencil’ in our definition).

Assume now that there exists a *primitive section*, i.e. a section ρ of $\mathcal{W}$ such that $\nabla_\infty \rho = 0$ and $\Phi(\rho, \cdot) : \mathcal{T}\mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ is an isomorphism. One uses this isomorphism to carry ∇_∞ and Φ to $\mathcal{T}\mathbf{M}$. The former becomes a flat structure $\tilde{\nabla}$ on $\mathbf{M}$ (it is automatically without torsion). The latter becomes a commutative associative multiplication in the tangent sheaf. We denote this multiplication by $\circ$. The equation $\Phi(\rho, e) = \rho$ defines a unit for $\circ$. One can show that $\circ$ does not depend on the choice of ρ (while $\tilde{\nabla}$ does depend).

The last ingredient needed to equip $\mathbf{M}$ with a structure of a Frobenius manifold is a bilinear product compatible with $\tilde{\nabla}$ and $\circ$. In other words, $\tilde{\nabla}$ is the Levi-Civita connection for this metric, while the multiplication operators are symmetric. These structures altogether make $\mathcal{T}\mathbf{M}$ into a sheaf of *Frobenius algebras*, so that $\mathbf{M}$ becomes a *Frobenius manifold*.

Giving such a metric is equivalent to a ∇ -flat symmetric non-degenerate pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : a^* \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{M} \times \mathbb{P}^1}$, where $a : z \mapsto -z$ is an involution on $\mathbb{P}^1$. Indeed, if s_1 and s_2 are sections of $\mathcal{W}$, then we have

$$\left\langle \left(\nabla_\infty + \frac{\Phi}{z} \right) s_1, s_2 \right\rangle + \left\langle s_1, \left(\nabla_\infty - \frac{\Phi}{z} \right) s_2 \right\rangle = d \langle s_1, s_2 \rangle.$$

This is equivalent to $\langle \nabla_\infty s_1, s_2 \rangle + \langle s_1, \nabla_\infty s_2 \rangle = d \langle s_1, s_2 \rangle$ and $\langle \Phi s_1, s_2 \rangle = \langle s_1, \Phi s_2 \rangle$.

One then chooses flat coordinates t_A on $\mathbf{M}$ and shows that there exists locally on $\mathbf{M}$ a *potential* F such that

$$\langle \partial_A \circ \partial_B, \partial_C \rangle = \tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_A} \tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_B} \tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_C} F.$$

The associativity condition for $\circ$ transforms into WDVV equation for F .

4.1.1. Constructing primitive sections. Let m be a point of $\mathbf{M}$, $\rho_m \in \mathcal{W}_m$ be such that $\Phi(\rho_m, \cdot) : \mathcal{T}_m \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_m$ is an isomorphism. Then we can extend ρ_m to a ∇_∞ -flat section ρ of $\mathcal{W}$. Unfortunately, ρ is defined on a universal cover $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}$ of $\mathbf{M}$,

thus it gives rise to a Frobenius manifold structure on the open subset of $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}$, where $\Phi(\rho, \cdot)$ is an isomorphism. One easily checks that $\tilde{\nabla}$ and $\circ$ descend to $\mathbf{M}$. However, the metric does not descend to $\mathbf{M}$. We call it a twisted Frobenius structure on $\mathbf{M}$.

4.2. Isomonodromic deformations. We are going to identify ∇_∞ with some isomonodromic deformation. Thus we shall need some generalities on isomonodromy. For more detail we refer to [B]. Note that there is a standard relation between isomonodromy and Frobenius manifolds, see [Sab], but it will not be used in our paper.

Let $\mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ be a holomorphic fiber bundle, equipped with a family of meromorphic flat connections on fibers (i.e. a relative connection). This family is said to be *isomonodromic* if it can be extended to a flat absolute meromorphic connection on $\mathbf{Y}$. The family of connections with regular singularities is isomonodromic if and only if the monodromy does not change.

4.2.1. Universal isomonodromy for line bundles. We shall need a baby version of isomonodromy, namely, isomonodromy for *line bundles* with connections. In this case a family of meromorphic connections is isomonodromic if and only if the monodromy does not change, even if connections have irregular singularities.

Let $\mathbf{M}_{g,n}$ be the moduli space of triples (X, p, x) , where X is a curve (which we assume smooth complete over $\mathbb{C}$), $p \in X$, x is a coordinate to order n at p . Let $\text{Conn}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{g,n}$ be the moduli space of line bundles with connections with a pole of order at most n at p and no other poles. One defines the *universal isomonodromic connection* on this fibration by the following requirements: a family is isomonodromic if (1) monodromy representation is constant (2) x -expansion of the polar part at p does not change. The existence and uniqueness of such a connection is a standard fact in the theory of isomonodromic deformations (it is easy for line bundles though).

4.3. Calculating $\varphi_* \mathcal{D}^\bullet$. We start with the Čech calculation of $\varphi_* \mathcal{D}^\bullet$. Let $m = (X, p, f_0) \in \mathbf{A}$. Consider the following cover of X : $X = \dot{X} \cup D$, where $\dot{X} = X \setminus p$, D is the formal neighbourhood of p . Set $\dot{D} = \dot{X} \cap D$. Let $\bar{\mathbf{A}}$ be the formal completion of $\mathbf{A}$ at m , $\bar{\mathbf{X}}$ be the restriction of $\mathbf{X}$ to $\bar{\mathbf{A}}$. Since affine schemes have no infinitesimal deformations, $\bar{\mathbf{X}}$ can be covered by $\dot{X} \times \bar{\mathbf{A}}$ and $D \times \bar{\mathbf{A}}$. To calculate $\varphi_* \mathcal{D}^\bullet$ we shall use the Čech resolution of $\mathcal{D}^\bullet$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(-1) & \xrightarrow{d_X + \frac{\omega}{z}} & \Omega(n) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & C^0(\mathcal{O}(-1)) & \longrightarrow & C^1(\mathcal{O}(-1))_{C^0(\Omega(n))}^\oplus & \longrightarrow & C^1(\Omega(n)) \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

Consider a section of $R^1 \varphi_* \mathcal{D}^\bullet$ over $\bar{\mathbf{A}}$. It is represented by a family of 1-cocycles $(\alpha_1(\varepsilon), \alpha_2(\varepsilon), s(\varepsilon))$. Precisely, $\alpha_1(\varepsilon)$ is a relative 1-form on $\dot{X} \times \bar{\mathbf{A}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{A}}$, $\alpha_2(\varepsilon)$ is a relative 1-form on $D \times \bar{\mathbf{A}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{A}}$ with a pole of order at most n along $\{p\} \times \bar{\mathbf{A}}$, $s(\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{O}_{D \times \bar{\mathbf{A}}}$. The cocycle condition is

$$(11) \quad \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 = d_X s + \frac{\omega s}{z}.$$

We extend $d_X + \frac{\omega}{z}$ to an absolute connection $\nabla = d + \frac{df}{z}$ (compare with remark (1) after §2.4).

We want to describe the Gauss–Manin connection on the first hypercohomology sheaf directly. To this end we need to assign to $(\alpha_1(\varepsilon), \alpha_2(\varepsilon), s(\varepsilon))$ a cocycle with values in $\Omega_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}}$.

First, we define a map

$$\Psi_1 : \wedge^2 \Omega_{\dot{X} \times \bar{\mathbf{A}}} \rightarrow \varphi^* \Omega_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}} \otimes \Omega_{\dot{X} \times \bar{\mathbf{A}}/\bar{\mathbf{A}}}.$$

It is defined as follows: there is a natural surjective map $\varphi^* \Omega_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}} \otimes \Omega_{\dot{X} \times \bar{\mathbf{A}}} \rightarrow \wedge^2 \Omega_{\dot{X} \times \bar{\mathbf{A}}}$ (recall that $\dim X = 1$). Thus we can lift a section of $\wedge^2 \Omega_{\dot{X} \times \bar{\mathbf{A}}}$ to $\varphi^* \Omega_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}} \otimes \Omega_{\dot{X} \times \bar{\mathbf{A}}}$ and then project it to $\varphi^* \Omega_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}} \otimes \Omega_{\dot{X} \times \bar{\mathbf{A}}/\bar{\mathbf{A}}}$. The lift is defined up to an element of the second symmetric power of $\Omega_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}}$, thus the projection does not depend on the lift. Similarly, we can define maps

$$\Psi_2 : \wedge^2 \Omega_{D \times \bar{\mathbf{A}}} \rightarrow \varphi^* \Omega_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}} \otimes \Omega_{D \times \bar{\mathbf{A}}/\bar{\mathbf{A}}}.$$

$$\Psi_{12} : \wedge^2 \Omega_{\dot{D} \times \bar{\mathbf{A}}} \rightarrow \varphi^* \Omega_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}} \otimes \Omega_{\dot{D} \times \bar{\mathbf{A}}/\bar{\mathbf{A}}}.$$

Now let us lift α_i to an absolute 1-form $\tilde{\alpha}_i$ such that $\tilde{\alpha}_2$ has a pole of order at most n on $\tilde{p}$ and set

$$\nabla \alpha_i = \Psi_i(\nabla \tilde{\alpha}_i).$$

It follows from (11) that

$$(12) \quad \tilde{\alpha}_1 - \tilde{\alpha}_2 = \nabla s + \beta,$$

where β is a section of $\varphi^* \Omega_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}}$. The Gauss–Manin connection is given by

$$(13) \quad \nabla(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, s) = (\nabla \alpha_1, \nabla \alpha_2, \beta).$$

Lemma 3. (1) *The 1-cochain (13) is a cocycle for $\mathcal{D}^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{O}(n+1)$.*

(2) *If we change the lifts $\alpha_i \rightsquigarrow \tilde{\alpha}_i$, then (13) changes by a coboundary.*

(3) *∇ satisfies the Leibnitz rule with respect to multiplication of cocycles by functions on $\bar{\mathbf{A}}$.*

Proof. We have a well-defined relative differential $d_X : \varphi^* \Omega_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}} \rightarrow \varphi^* \Omega_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}} \otimes \Omega_{X \times \bar{\mathbf{A}}/\bar{\mathbf{A}}}$. The proof of (1) and (2) is based on the following: if γ is a section of $\varphi^* \Omega_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}}$, then $\Psi_i(\nabla \gamma) = d_X \gamma + \frac{1}{z} \gamma \otimes \omega$. The proof of this statement is left to the reader, let us prove (1). It follows from (12) that $\nabla \tilde{\alpha}_1 - \nabla \tilde{\alpha}_2 = \nabla \beta$. Now let us apply Ψ_{12} to both sides, it gives $\nabla \alpha_1 - \nabla \alpha_2 = d_X \beta + \frac{1}{z} \beta \otimes \omega$ and the cocycle condition follows.

We leave the proof of (2) and (3) to the reader. $\square$

It follows that ∇ descends to a connection on $\varphi_* \mathcal{D}^\bullet$. A little difficulty is that we get a cocycle of $\mathcal{D}^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{O}(n+1)$ instead of that of $\varphi_* \mathcal{D}^\bullet$. Fortunately, there is a natural quasi-isomorphism (compare with §3.1)

$$(14) \quad \mathcal{D}^\bullet \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{O}(n+1)$$

for $z \neq \infty$. For $z = \infty$ one needs to extend the cocycle to a neighbourhood of $z = \infty$ first, apply ∇ , and then pass to the limit as $z \rightarrow \infty$ to evaluate ∇_∞ . In the next subsection we shall calculate this limit (and see that it exists).

4.4. Identifying ∇_∞ . Recall that in §4.2.1 we defined a fibration $Conn_n \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{g,n}$. Let $Conn_n^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{g,n}$ be its part corresponding to degree zero line bundles and let $\widetilde{Conn_n^0} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{g,n}$ be its relative universal cover. In other words,

$$\widetilde{Conn_n^0} = \bigsqcup_{(X,p)} \widetilde{Conn_{X,p,n}^0}$$

Consider the diagram

$$(15) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \varphi_* \mathcal{D}^\bullet|_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}, z=\infty} & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{Conn}_n^0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \bar{\mathbf{A}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{M}_{g,n} \end{array}$$

The lower map is given in the following way: f in the neighbourhood of p gives a polar part of order n . This gives a coordinate to order n . In other words, the coordinate is $x = f^{-\frac{1}{n}}$. Precisely, this coordinate is defined up to a multiplication by a root of unity. We fix such a choice (this is why we restrict this map to $\bar{\mathbf{A}}$). It follows from Lemma 2 that this is a pull-back diagram. It follows from §4.2.1, the right fibration in (15) is equipped with isomonodromic connection.

Lemma 4. *The upper map respects connections. Thus ∇_∞ is the pull-back of the isomonodromic connection.*

Proof. Consider an isomonodromic family of connections on $\bar{\mathbf{A}}$ given by a family of cocycles $(\alpha_1(\varepsilon), \alpha_2(\varepsilon), \exp(s(\varepsilon)))$ as in §4.3 (now we have $z = \infty$). Here $\exp(s(\varepsilon))$ is a cocycle defining the line bundle. It has a single-valued logarithm because the bundle has degree zero. We need to show that this family is ∇_∞ -flat. The cocycle condition (11) becomes

$$\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 = d_X s.$$

Since the family is isomonodromic the forms α_i can be extended to absolute *closed* forms $\tilde{\alpha}_i$. The condition that the x -expansion of the polar part does not change in the family can be written in the following way:

$$(16) \quad \tilde{\alpha}_2(\varepsilon) = h(x^{-1})dx + \gamma(\varepsilon, x),$$

where h is a polynomial with constant coefficients, γ is a 1-form regular on $\tilde{p}$. A simple calculation in local coordinates shows that, changing the lift $\alpha_2 \rightsquigarrow \tilde{\alpha}_2$ if necessary, we can assume that γ/x has a logarithmic pole on $\tilde{p}$.

Since $f = x^{-n}$, we have $h(x^{-1})dx \wedge df = 0$. Now we want to extend the cocycle to the neighbourhood of $z = \infty$. To this end we write $\omega s = \sigma_1 - \sigma_2$, where σ_1 is a relative 1-form on $\tilde{X} \times \bar{\mathbf{A}}$, σ_2 is a relative 1-form on $D \times \bar{\mathbf{A}}$ with at most simple pole. This is always possible, since $H^1(X, \Omega(1)) = 0$. It is easy to see that $(\alpha_1 + \frac{\sigma_1}{z}, \alpha_2 + \frac{\sigma_2}{z}, s)$ satisfies the cocycle condition (11).

We extend σ_i to an absolute form $\tilde{\sigma}_i$. It is easy to see that we can have $\tilde{\sigma}_2 \wedge df = 0$. Using (16) we get:

$$\nabla \left(\tilde{\alpha}_2 + \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_2}{z} \right) = \left(d + \frac{df}{z} \right) \left(\tilde{\alpha}_2 + \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_2}{z} \right) = \frac{df}{z} \wedge \gamma + \frac{d\tilde{\sigma}_2}{z} = \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{z} \right).$$

A similar (but easier) argument, shows that $\nabla \left(\tilde{\alpha}_1 + \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_1}{z} \right) = \mathcal{O}(1/z)$. Applying Ψ we see that

$$(17) \quad \nabla(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, s) = (0, 0, \beta) + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{z} \right),$$

where $d_X \beta = 0$. Note also that since γ/x has logarithmic pole on $\tilde{p}$, (17) is a cocycle of $\mathcal{D}^\bullet$ (a priori it is a cocycle of $\mathcal{D}^\bullet(n)$). Therefore we do not need to invert the quasi-isomorphism (14) (which could have altered the behavior at $z = \infty$). Taking limit as $z \rightarrow \infty$ we get a cocycle of the form $(0, 0, \beta)$. Such a cocycle is a coboundary, so the family (α_1, α_2, s) is a flat section of ∇_∞ . $\square$

We have calculated ∇_∞ on $\varphi_*\mathcal{D}^\bullet$. Now we want to understand ∇_∞ on $\mathcal{V}$. Recall that the choice of a -cycles gives a splitting (10) of the exact sequence (9).

Lemma 5. (a) ∇_∞ respects this splitting; in particular it preserves the space of trivial bundles.

(b) The restriction of ∇_∞ to the space of non-singular connections with trivial a -monodromy is the cover of isomonodromic connection.

(c) The restriction of ∇_∞ to the space of trivial bundles is described as follows: a family $(\mathcal{O}, d_X + \rho)$ is flat if and only if the a -periods of ρ are constant and the x -expansion of the polar part is constant.

Proof. (b) Follows from the previous lemma because we do not modify $\varphi_*\mathcal{D}^\bullet$ along this subspace.

(a) By (b) it is enough to prove that the space of trivial bundles is preserved. It follows from the fact that the sections of $\mathcal{V}$ corresponding to trivial bundles at $z = \infty$ correspond to the sections of $\varphi_*\mathcal{D}^\bullet$ that vanish at $z = \infty$.

(c) Let $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{O}, d_X + \rho)$ be a family with constant a -monodromy and a constant x -expansion of the polar part. Let $\mathcal{C}'$ be a section of $\varphi_*\mathcal{D}^\bullet|_{z=\infty}$ covering a family of non-singular connections such that its a -monodromy is trivial and the b -monodromy is reciprocal to that of $\mathcal{C}$. Then the projection of $\mathcal{C}'' = \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}'$ to Conn_n is isomonodromic in the sense of §4.2.1. Hence (using Lemma 2) it can be extended to a ∇ -flat section $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}''$ of $\varphi_*\mathcal{D}^\bullet$ in the neighbourhood of $z = \infty$. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}''/z$ is also ∇ -flat. It can be viewed as a section of $\mathcal{V}$, equal to $(\mathcal{O}, d_X + \rho)$ at $z = \infty$. It remains to recall that ∇_∞ for $\mathcal{V}$ is defined as $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \nabla_z$. $\square$

4.5. Deformation theory. Consider the complex

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(-1) \xrightarrow{\times\omega} \mathcal{O}(n) \rightarrow 0$$

It governs deformations of a triple $m = (X, p, f_0)$, where only deformations of f_0 that preserve periods are allowed. Thus it is the deformation complex of $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$, we denote it by $\mathcal{K}^\bullet$. Let us present more details. Consider a cocycle (h_1, h_2, τ) of $\mathcal{K}^\bullet$, where $h_1 \in \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}$, $h_2 \in \mathcal{O}_D(n)$, $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\hat{D})$. Then τ represents a class of $H^1(X, \mathcal{T})$, thus it gives rise to an infinitesimal family $\hat{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]/\varepsilon^2$. A function $\hat{f}$ on $\hat{X}$ is given by the conditions: $\hat{f}|_{\hat{X} \times \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]/\varepsilon^2} = f_0 + \varepsilon h_1$, $\hat{f}|_{D \times \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]/\varepsilon^2} = f_0 + \varepsilon h_2$. The periods of $\hat{f}$ are constant in the family because h_1 is a single-valued function on $\hat{X}$. Thus we have assigned a vector of $\mathcal{T}_m \hat{\mathbf{A}}$ to (h_1, h_2, τ) . We leave it to the reader to check that it indeed gives an isomorphism of $R^1\varphi_*(\mathcal{K}^\bullet)$ with the tangent sheaf of $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$.

Remark. $\mathcal{K}^\bullet$ is actually a dg-Lie algebra. This gives a relation of our work to [BK], where a similar complex is used (in higher dimensional situation). See also [M].

4.6. Residue of the pencil and multiplication in the tangent sheaf. Consider the residue of ∇ at $z = 0$, denote it by Φ . We want to give a cohomological interpretation of Φ . Consider a morphism of complexes:

$$(18) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{T}(-2) & \xrightarrow{\times\omega \oplus \times\omega} & \mathcal{O}(n-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(n-1) & \xrightarrow{(\times\omega) - (\times\omega)} & \Omega(2n) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow = \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(n-1) & \xrightarrow{\times\omega} & \Omega(2n) \end{array}$$

The upper complex is $\mathcal{K}^\bullet \otimes (\mathcal{O}(-1) \xrightarrow{\times\omega} \Omega(n))$, while the lower complex is naturally quasi-isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(-1) \xrightarrow{\times\omega} \Omega(n)$. Thus we get a map

$$\mathcal{T}\hat{\mathbf{A}} \otimes R^1\varphi_*(\mathcal{O}(-1) \xrightarrow{\times\omega} \Omega(n)) \rightarrow R^1\varphi_*(\mathcal{O}(-1) \xrightarrow{\times\omega} \Omega(n)).$$

Lemma 6. *This map coincides with Φ .*

Proof. Let us again fix $m = (X, p, f_0, \Delta) \in \hat{\mathbf{A}}$ and take a Čech cocycle (α_1, α_2, s) of $\mathcal{D}^\bullet|_{m, z=0}$. We have $\alpha_1 \in \Omega_{\dot{X}}$, $\alpha_2 \in \Omega_D(n)$, $s \in \mathcal{O}_{\dot{D}}$. Let us also take a cocycle (h_1, h_2, τ) of $\mathcal{K}^\bullet$, where $h_1 \in \mathcal{O}_{\dot{X}}$, $h_2 \in \mathcal{O}_D(n)$, $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\dot{D})$. This cocycle represents some $\xi \in \mathcal{T}_m \hat{\mathbf{A}}$. The product of cocycles is given by the following cocycle: $(h_1\alpha_1, h_2\alpha_2, \tau\alpha_1 + h_2s)$. The cocycle condition for (α_1, α_2, s) is given by $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 = \omega s$, hence s is uniquely determined by α_1 and α_2 . Again, we view $\hat{\mathbf{X}}$ as glued from $\dot{X} \times \bar{\mathbf{A}}$ and $D \times \bar{\mathbf{A}}$. We can extend α_i and h_i to families $\tilde{\alpha}_i$ and $\tilde{h}_i$ over $\bar{\mathbf{A}}$ using this direct product structure. Similarly we can lift ξ to a vector field $\tilde{\xi}_1$ along $\dot{X} \times \{m\}$ and to $\tilde{\xi}_2$ along $D \times \{m\}$. In particular we have $\langle \tilde{\xi}_i, \tilde{\alpha}_i \rangle = 0$.

Unwinding the definition of ∇ we see that Φ is given on cocycles by the formula:

$$\Phi(\xi, (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, s)) = (\langle \xi, \Psi_1(df \wedge \tilde{\alpha}_1) \rangle, \langle \xi, \Psi_2(df \wedge \tilde{\alpha}_2) \rangle, ?).$$

Since the last element of the cocycle is uniquely determined by the others, it is enough to prove that

$$\langle \xi, \Psi_i(df \wedge \tilde{\alpha}_i) \rangle = h_i \alpha_i.$$

Using the identification of cohomology of $\mathcal{K}^\bullet$ with the tangent space of $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$ one finds that $\langle \tilde{\xi}_i, df \rangle = \partial_{\tilde{\xi}_i}(f) = h_i$. It follows that

$$\langle \xi, \Psi_i(df \wedge \tilde{\alpha}_i) \rangle = \langle \tilde{\xi}_i, df \wedge \tilde{\alpha}_i \rangle = \langle \tilde{\xi}_i, df \rangle \tilde{\alpha}_i - \langle \tilde{\xi}_i, \tilde{\alpha}_i \rangle df = h_i \tilde{\alpha}_i.$$

The first equality is a “consistency property” of Ψ_i ; the proof is left to the reader. $\square$

Notice that $\mathcal{K}^\bullet$ is exact except at zeros of $\omega = d_X f_0$, therefore for fixed $m \in \hat{\mathbf{A}}$ the complex is naturally quasi-isomorphic to $\oplus_s \mathcal{O}_{q_s}$, where q_s are zeros of ω (if ω has multiple zeroes, they should be viewed as schemes with nilpotents). Similarly, $\mathcal{D}^\bullet|_{z=0}$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\oplus_s (\Omega_X)_{q_s}$.

It follows easily from the lemma above that under this identification Φ becomes the componentwise multiplication. Thus $\Phi(\rho_m, \cdot)$ is an isomorphism for a generic element of $\mathcal{W}_m$. It follows that taking different primitive sections we can construct twisted Frobenius structures on open subsets covering the smooth locus of $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$.

The tangent sheaf multiplication also has a cohomological interpretation, namely, there is a natural map $\mathcal{K}^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{K}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{O}(n)$, similar to (18).

Lemma 7. *The induced map on cohomology coincides with $\circ$. In particular $\circ$ does not depend on the choice of a primitive section.*

Proof. Follows from associativity of cohomological multiplication. $\square$

One would like to have a canonical choice of a primitive section, this will be discussed in §4.8.

4.7. Metric. We are going to construct a sesquilinear metric on $\mathcal{V}$. We start with a map

$$\mathcal{D}^\bullet(-n-1) \otimes a^* \mathcal{D}^\bullet \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}(-n-1) \xrightarrow{d} \Omega) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1).$$

This is defined by the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{O}(-n-1) & \xrightarrow{(d+\frac{\omega}{z}, d-\frac{\omega}{z})} & (\Omega \oplus \Omega) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) & \xrightarrow{(d+\frac{\omega}{z}, -d+\frac{\omega}{z})} & \Omega^2(n+1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \\ = \downarrow & & + \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{O}(-n-1) & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

It gives rise to a ∇ -flat map: $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \varphi_* \mathcal{D}^\bullet \otimes a^* \varphi_* \mathcal{D}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$, we leave it to the reader to check that it is symmetric and non-degenerate. We need to prove that this metric restricts to a metric $\mathcal{V} \otimes a^* \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$. Let s_1, s_2 be sections of $\mathcal{V}$. We must show that $\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle|_{z=\infty} = 0$. Recalling the splitting (10) and the definition of $\mathcal{V}$ we see that it is enough to check this condition in the case when

$$s_i|_{z=\infty} \in H^1(X, \mathcal{O}) \subset \varphi_* \mathcal{D}^\bullet|_{z=\infty}.$$

To this end we notice that $\mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{d} \Omega) = H^1(X, \mathbb{C})$ and that the restriction of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle|_{z=\infty}$ to this subspace coincides with the usual intersection form on $H^1(X, \mathbb{C})$. It remains to recall that Δ is isotropic.

4.8. Choice of primitive sections. Let k be an integer such that $2 \leq k \leq n$. Consider a section ρ_k of $\Omega(k)$ with the following properties

- (1) Its polar part at $\tilde{p}$ is of the form $x^{-k} dx$, where $x = f^{-1/n}$.
- (2) The a -periods of ρ are zero.

This form is defined locally up to a multiplication by an n -th root of unity. It follows from Lemma 5 that $(\mathcal{O}, d_X + \rho)$ is a flat section of $\mathcal{V}|_{z=\infty}$. This is a primitive section on the open set $\hat{\mathbf{A}}_k \subset \hat{\mathbf{A}}$, where ρ_k and ω have no common zeroes. Thus it gives rise to a connection on $\hat{\mathbf{A}}_k$, which depends only on k . The metric is defined up to multiplication by a root of unity.

It is curious that if n is even, then $\rho_{n/2}$ is defined up to sign, so the corresponding metric is also defined canonically.

Remark. Unfortunately, we do not know how to prove that $\hat{\mathbf{A}}_k$ is always non-empty. We have the following partial results:

- (1) For a generic leaf $\mathbf{A} \subset \mathbf{A}_{g,n}$ all the sets $\hat{\mathbf{A}}_k$ are non-empty.
- (2) If n is large enough, then all the sets $\hat{\mathbf{A}}_k$ are non-empty.

4.9. Relation with the construction of Krichever. The above Frobenius structure is equivalent to that of [K1, K2]. To give a bridge between these papers and ours we present here a different point of view on $\mathcal{V}$.

Fix $m = (X, p, f_0, \Delta) \in \hat{\mathbf{A}}$ and fix some set of disjoint closed curves a_i ($i = 1, \dots, g$) on X representing a -cycles. Assume that $p \notin \cup a_i$. Let χ be a 1-form on X with the only pole of order at most n at p and jumps $\lambda_i \omega$ along a_i , where $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$.

Lemma 8. *For every $z \in \mathbb{P}^1$ there is a natural isomorphism between the set of such forms with jumps and $\mathcal{V}|_{m,z}$.*

Proof. Let us first consider $z \neq \infty$. The idea is that a form with jumps can be, in some sense viewed as a Čech cocycle of $\mathcal{D}^\bullet$.

Precisely, we take a cover $\mathcal{U}_j$ of X such that there is a unique j with $p \in \mathcal{U}_j$. Let (α_j, s_{jk}) be a cocycle, representing an element of $\mathbb{H}^1(X, \mathcal{D}^\bullet)$ so that $\alpha_j \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}_j, \Omega(n))$, $s_{jk} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}_j \cap \mathcal{U}_k, \mathcal{O})$. The cocycle conditions are

$$\alpha_j - \alpha_k = d_X s_{jk} + \frac{\omega}{z} s_{jk}, \quad s_{jk} + s_{kl} + s_{lj} = 0, \quad s_{jk} = -s_{kj}.$$

Hence s_{jk} represents an element of $H^1(X, \mathcal{O})$. It is easy to check that for every such a cocycle s_{jk} we can find holomorphic functions h_j with constant jumps along a_i 's such that $s_{jk} = h_j - h_k$. This functions are unique if we require $h_j(p) = 0$, if $p \in \mathcal{U}_j$. It follows that

$$\alpha_j - d_X h_j - \frac{\omega}{z} h_j$$

patch together to a 1-form α with jumps along a_i . We leave to the reader to check that this map from the cohomology group to forms with jumps is an isomorphism.

For $z = \infty$ one gives an isomorphism by the following conditions

(1) If $\lambda_i = 0$ for all i , then χ corresponds to $(\mathcal{O}, d + \chi)$.

(2) If χ has no pole at p , then the corresponding local system is given by the transition functions $\exp(\lambda_i)$ (in particular its a -monodromy is trivial). This map to the space of connections on X is lifted to a map to its universal cover by requiring that $\chi = 0$ goes to the trivial local system. $\square$

The reader should compare this description with Lemma 5. It follows that in terms of forms with jumps ∇_∞ can be described very easily: a family is flat if a -periods do not change, λ_i 's do not change and the x -expansion of χ at p does not change.

REFERENCES

- [B] Babelon, Olivier; Bernard, Denis; Talon, Michel. *Introduction to classical integrable systems*. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003. xii+602 pp. ISBN: 0-521-82267-X.
- [BK] Barannikov, Sergey; Kontsevich, Maxim. *Frobenius Manifolds and Formality of Lie Algebras of Polyvector Fields*. Internat. Math. Res. Notices 1998, no. 4, 201–215.
- [D] Dubrovin, Boris. *Geometry of 2d topological field theories*. Integrable systems and quantum groups (Montecatini Terme, 1993), 120–348, Lecture Notes in Math., 1620, Springer, Berlin, 1996.
- [F] Fedorov, Roman. *Deformations of algebro-geometric solutions of KP equations and Whitham equations*. In preparation.
- [HM] Hertling, Claus; Manin, Yuri. *Unfoldings of meromorphic connections and a construction of Frobenius manifolds*. Frobenius manifolds, 113–144, Aspects Math., E36, Vieweg, Wiesbaden, 2004.
- [K1] Krichever, Igor. *The τ -function of the universal Whitham hierarchy, matrix models and topological field theories*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 47 (1994), no. 4, 437–475.
- [K2] Krichever, Igor. *Baker-Akhiezer Functions and Integrable systems*. Integrability: the Seiberg-Witten and Whitham equations (Edinburgh, 1998), 1–22, Gordon and Breach, Amsterdam, 2000.
- [L] Losev, Andrey. *Hodge strings and elements of K. Saito's theory of primitive form*. Topological field theory, primitive forms and related topics (Kyoto, 1996), 305–335, Progr. Math., 160, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1998.
- [M] Merkulov, Sergey. *Operads, deformation theory and F-manifolds*. Frobenius manifolds, 213–251, Aspects Math., E36, Vieweg, Wiesbaden, 2004.
- [Sab] Sabbah, Claude. *Frobenius manifolds: isomonodromic deformations and infinitesimal period mappings*. Exposition. Math. 16 (1998), no. 1, 1–57.

[Sai] Saito, Kyoji. *Period mapping associated to a primitive form*. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 19 (1983), no. 3, 1231–1264.

E-mail address: `fedorov@math.umass.edu`

MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS, LEDERLEE GRADUATE RESEARCH TOWER, UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS, 710 NORTH PLEASANT STREET, AMHERST, MA 01003-9305